S. C. WHIPP,* J. POHLENZ, D. L. HARRIS, I. M. ROBINSON, R. D. GLOCK, and R. KUNKEL

warme dysentery has been induced in conventional The secondation with Treponema hyodysenteriae (T. we are in uncontaminated gnotobiotic pigs. When and an estitis was induced in gnotobiotic pigs with In combination with 4 obligate anaerobes, at al. hypothesized that the etiology of swine mery involved a mixed infection. Support for this provided by our observations that: (1) recorred in gnotobiotic pigs inoculated with combination with any one of 7 different colitis was unobserved in uncontaminated mentatic pigs infected with T. hyo. alone. Our refatlure to recover T. hyo. from gnotobiotic are arrected with T. hyo. alone led us to hypothesize synergistic relationship between T. hyo. and other anaerobes was related to colonization me me to expression of pathogenicity. The object mes of this study were to: (1) facilitate colonicathe colon of gnotobiotic pigs by T. hyo. with injections of growth media directly into the (2) to test the hypothesis that T. hyo. was _____ in pigs inoculated with T. hyo. only, but in below the sensitivity of our culture methods; to test 2 other isolates for synergism with

ME BOOS:

metobiotic pigs were derived as described by

mis et al. In the first set of experiments, pigs
mintained as previously except that a cannula
mplanted into the cecum of some pigs during the
meek of life to facilitate injection of media.

Pigs inoculated with T. hyo. alone or in various
mations with other anaerobes and/or media in the
meet the results from 27 were invalidated by incimations.

In the second series of experiments, the diet was relaved for 15 min since we had demonstrated this be one source of incidental contamination. In these riments, pigs were inoculated with the various antes during the first week of life and with T. hyours the second week of life. The microorganisms included Fusobacterium plauti, which was isolated the colonic mucosa of conventional pigs with extend swine dysentery and a ureolytic Streptospp. isolated from the colonic mucosa of a continual clinically-normal pig. The numbers of pigs inocula used in these experiments are given in these pigs.

TABLE 1

No. of	Inoculum	<u>T. hyo.</u> recovered	Colitis observed
3	T. hyo., F. necro.	3/3	3/3
2	T. hyo., F. plauti	0/2	0/2
2	T. hyo. alone	2/2	1/2

Pesults:

of the ll uncontaminated pigs in the first series experiments, T. hyo. induced colitis in 2 of 3 pigs the were pre-inoculated with Bacteroides vulgatus.

L. vul.) and Fusobacterium necrophorum (F. necro.), not in any of 3 pigs infected with T. hyo. alone, and T. hyo. was not recovered from these latter pigs.

L. of 2 pigs inoculated with B. vul. 5 days after neculation with T. hyo. only, colitis was observed T. hyo. was recovered at necropsy. This suggests that failure to reisolate T. hyo. from some pigs

inoculated with \underline{T} . hyo only may be a function of the sensitivity of the culture techniques. \underline{T} . hyo. was reisolated 5 days after inoculation with \underline{T} . hyo. only from 2 of 3 pigs in which growth media was injected every 6 h after inoculation, but colitis was unobserved. This demonstrates that the colonic environment can be altered to facilitate growth of \underline{T} . hyo.

TABLE 2

No. of pigs	Inoculum	T. hyo. recovered	Colitis observed
2	T. hyo., F. necro.	1/2	1/2
3	T. hyo., F. necro., Strep.	3/3	3/3
4	T. hyo., Strep.	1/4	0/4
4	T. hyo. alone	2/4	3/4

In the first experiment of the second series (Table 1), T. hyo. consistently induced colitis when present with F. necro., but not when present with F. plauti, nor was T. hyo. recovered from pigs infected with F. plauti. F. plauti. was consistently recovered from these pigs. The startling result was recovery of T. hyo. from 2 pigs infected with T. hyo. only and the presence of colitis in one of these pigs.

In the second experiment (Table 2), T. hyo. consistently induced colitis when present with \overline{F} . necro., but not when present with Streptococcus, although T. hyo. was recovered from 1 of 4 pigs infected with T. hyo. in combination with Streptococcus. Streptococcus was consistently isolated from pigs in which it had been inoculated. However, the results of the previous experiment, were confirmed when T. hyo. was recovered from pigs inoculated with \underline{T} . \underline{hyo} only and colitis was observed in some of these \underline{pigs} . In both of these experiments, T. hyo. was shown by transmission electron microscopy, scanning electron microscopy or histology (Warthin-Starry stain) to inhabit the colonic mucosa in all animals positive for T. hyo. T. hyo. was observed more frequently in crypts than on the surface epithelium, but was never observed in subepithelial layers and did not appear to adhere to the epithelium. By SEM, they appeared to be incorporated into mucus at the crypt openings and deep in crypt lumina.

Thus, we have demonstrated that T. hyo. can colonize and express pathogenicity in the colon of the gnotobiotic pig in absence of other microbial contamination. We interpret this to provide additional support for the hypothesis that the synergism observed between T. hyo. and certain other anaerobes facilitates colonization and not expression of pathogenicity. The only procedural changes of which we are aware that may explain the difference between these results and previous observations are: (1) the autoclaved diet, and (2) subtle changes in growth media for T. hyo. resulting in a 10-fold increase in the number of cells in the inoculums

Selected references: Harris, D.L., Alexander, T.J.L., Whipp, S.C., Robinson, I.M., Glock, R.D. and Matthews P.J.: J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 1978, 122:468; Meyer, R.C., Simon, J. and Byerly, C.S.: Vet. Path. 1975, 12:46; Whipp, S.C., Robinson, I.M., Harris, D.L., Glock, R.D., Matthews, P.J. and Alexander, T.J.L.: Infec. Immum. 1979, 26:1042; Whipp, S.C., Robinson, I.M., Harris, D.L., Glock, R.D. and Matthews, P.J.: Proc. IPVS 1980, p. 230; Miniats, O.P. and Jol, D.: Can. J. Comp. Med. 1978, 42:428.