THE EFFECTS OF FOUR FEED LEVEL DURING LATE PREGNANCY ON
THE REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF PIGS.
R. SCHINCA, V. MENDOZA, D. HURLEY, F. PIZARRO.
FACULTAD DE ESTUDIOS SUPERIORES CUAUTITLAN
APARTADO POSTAL NO. 25, CUAUTITLAN IZCALLI, EDO. DE MEXICO.

A study was made on the response of sows and gilts at four levels, 1.8, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 Kg. per day of a propietary meal. CP. 14.5%, ME.(Kcal/Kg), 3005, Ca. 0.82%, P. 0.45%. The study included the last three weeks of -gestation during spring and summer in a farm located in a cold zone, Dos Rios, State of -Mexico. The animals used in the trial were the result of a three rotational cross using the Duroc, Hampshire and Yorkshire breeds. After pregnancy confirmation, using an ultrasonic device, the 'Females received 1.8 Kglper day of a gestation feed made in the farm. CP. 14.0%, ME.(Kcal/Kg) 2913, Ca. 0.91%; P. 0.52% From 90 days post-coitum to farrowing the ani mals were randomly assigned to the following treatments: A) 1.8, B) 2.0, C) 2.5 and D) 3.0 Kg! per day.

The females received the specified amount of daily feed at Itwo different times, 8:00 A.M. and 1:00 P.M. During all the pregnancy the animals were confined in stalls and twenty - five animals per treatment were used. At farrowing the piglets were weighted and counted the litters were equalized as evenly as posible by number and weight.

During lactation the females were fed ad.libitum and the piglets did not receive any kind of complementary feed. The results obtained are shown in tables I, II, and III.

A completely randomized factorial design,2X2, was used for the analisis of data. There was not a significant difference in the number of piglets born and weaned. A significant difference was found for individual piglet weight at birth (P 0.01) and at weaning (P 0.05). The best results were obtained using 2 Kg. of feed per day, the last three weeks of gestation.

When the performance of gilts and sows was analysed separately, there was found in gilts a tendency (P 0.12) to increased the litter weight at weaning as a result of the increa-sed survival of the piglets in the animals which received 2.5 Kg. per day as compared to those receiving 2.0 Kg. in late pregnancy. In sows there was found a statistically signi ficant difference (P 0.05) in the litter weight at weaning, the best performance was obtained at the 2.0 Kg. per day level. In addition there was found a trend (P 0.15) to have better piglet survival when the -amount of daily feed was increase from 1.8 Kg to 2.0 Kg. or more, but there was not a paralell increase in the litter weight at wea----

Conclusions: In the conditions of the trial — it is sufficient to give 2.0 Kg. of feed per day to the females to obtain a satisfactory — reproductive performance. It should be possible to obtain additional benefits in gilts by increasing the daily allowance from 2.0 to — 2.5 Kg. per day.

TABLE I

EFFECTS OF 4 FEED LEVELS IN LATE PREGNANCY ON THE REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF THE FEMALE PIG.

**************************************	ILY FEED ALLOWANCE (Kg)
	1.8 2.0 2.5 3.0
Metabolizable energy	
allowance (Kcal/Day)	5409,6010 7512 9015
Number of animals.	24 25 24 24
Average number of	
piglets at birth.	8.17 8.24 8.50 8.46
Average birth weight	
(Kg.)	1.43 1.60 1.47 1.49
Average number of	
piglets after cross-	
fostering.	9.08 8.96 9.08 9.20
Average number of	
piglets at weaning.	6.96 7.04 7.50 7.50
Average piglet weight	. diald work are Provided
at weaning (Kg.)	4.15 4.60 4.26 4.26
Piglets survival (%)	76.98 78.79 83.12 81.35
Weaning to mating	
interval (Days).	5.22 5.45 4.95 5.27

TABLE II

EFFECTS OF 4 FEED LEVELS IN LATE PREGNANCY ON THE REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF GILTS.

	1.8	2.0	2.5	3.0			
Piglets survival(%)	86.24	82.41	88.64	83.74			
Average litter weight	33.48	31.16	36.41	32.79			
at weaning (Kg.).							
Weanining to mating	5.00	5.11	4.66	5.44			
interval (days).							

TABLE III

ON THE REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF SOWS.

		1.8	2.0	2.5	3.0		
Piglets	survival(%)	69.57	76.38	78.97	79.64		
	litter weight ing (Kg).	23.23	32.41	29.59	31.24		
	to mating l (days)	5.38	5.69	5,15	5.15		

Selected references: Baker, D.H., Becker, D.E., Norton, H.W., Sasse, A.H., Jensen and Harmon, B.G: J.Nutr.1969, 97:489; Elliot, J.I.and Lodge, G.A: Can.J.Anim.Sci.1977, 58:43; Lodge, G.A., Elsley, F.W.H.and Mac.Pherson, R.M:Anim.Prod.1966, 8:29; Mayrose, V.B.. Speer and Hays, V.W:J.Anim. Sci.1966, 3:701; Michel, E.J:Ph.D.Thesis, 1979, Univ.Illinois; Okal, D.B. and Aherne, F.X.: Agriculture Bulletin.1976, 8:67; Seerley, R.W., Maxwall and McCampbell: J.Anim.Sci.1978, 5:1114.

*) Reprinted from Proc. Int. Pig Vet. Soc. 1982 Congress, Mexico.